

Science Island International Design Contest

Question and Answer Log Vol. 17
Date of issue: 26 August 2016

| Question number | Enquiry | Response | Date |
|-----------------|--|---|-----------|
| Note | <u>Question and Answer Process</u> All questions asked by email (scienceisland@malcolmreading.co.uk) and CPP IS by 4pm GMT+3 on a Monday will be collated and answered in the Question and Answer log published on a Wednesday and all questions asked by email (scienceisland@malcolmreading.co.uk) and CPP IS by 4pm GMT+3 on a Wednesday will be collated and answered in the Question and Answer log published on Friday. | | |
| 1 | I writing in connection with Science Island Competition submission requirements. I would like to ask about required plans and sections scales - 1:200. What would be the solution in cause of building lenght that is exceeding the width of A1 board in scale 1:200. Is it allowed to change the scale or present just fragment of plans in 1:200 scale and whole plan in bigger scale, or present whole plan in 1:200 scale, but with usage of two connected boards? | Yes. See clarification note in Q&A log vol. 12. | 22-Aug-16 |
| 2 | Is the IT room described in the brief a server room, or a storage room for IT/AV exhibitions equipment, or an office for the use of IT staff? | This is for the provision of all the building's IT requirements, including both server rooms and IT/AV rooms. This can be combined or dispersed to the discretion of the competitor. | 22-Aug-16 |
| 3 | <p>We have read all of the information in relation to the competition, but are uncertain as to which documents you require us to provide in respect of certification of financial standing etc.</p> <p>We are aware of the recently issued ESPD for tendering within the EU and would be grateful if you would confirm whether or not we are able to complete and print a copy of this form, as our self-certification for all of the statutory requirements for this project.</p> | <p>At this stage of competition competitors have to submit only the declaration of minimum qualification requirements (Annex X2). Only the competitors that have won the first three places (I-III) will have to submit documents to certify their qualification that are listed in Annex X1.</p> <p>See also response to Q.18 in Q&A log vol. 2.</p> | 23-Aug-16 |
| 4 | Can you clarify the exact dimension of Slogan Font? 1.0 cm is not 18pt | The title must be 1.0 cm. | 23-Aug-16 |
| 5 | On the first lines of annex X2 what we have to indicate on (place of conclusion) and (date) No.....?? | See response to Qs 2 and 3 in Q&A log vol. 16. | 24-Aug-16 |
| 6 | On the annex X5 what we have to indicate at the first lines on of.....2016?? | The date of submission. | 24-Aug-16 |
| 7 | Please provide us a layout scheme showing the arrangement of the six A1 boards on the wall (as one vertical column or one horizontal row, 2x3, 3x2...). | The expected arrangement is 2 x 3 (3 boards is 2 rows). | 24-Aug-16 |
| 8 | Can you please explain the grey dashed lines described as estimated routes on Annex X9 Key Buildings Map? There is a route running from the firehouse onto the island and across to the congress hall. Is this a bridge and is it for vehicles or pedestrians? Is this connection deemed very important? All three grey dashed lines connect with the road on H. ir O. Minkovskijų g. suggesting they are for vehicles. Can you clarify please? | See response to Qs 3 and 5 in Q&A log vol. 4. Also see response to Q.19 in Q&A log vol. 5. | 24-Aug-16 |
| 9 | Can you please identify the existing services running onto the island as shown on the Nemunas Island Plans dwg. Layers- is_lcl (blue) 7260 (red) 7280 (pink) 7258 (red) 7257 (pink) 7257 opt (pink) | See response to Q.1 in Q&A log vol. 6. | 24-Aug-16 |
| 10 | Do you have any information on the soil conditions on the island? | No additional information is available. | 24-Aug-16 |

| | | | |
|----|--|--|-----------|
| 11 | <p>We have a question about the A1 boards.</p> <p>11a) They are meant to be on stiff card. Can we fold them or should it be unfolded?</p> <p>11b) The project elements B,C should be in different files or inserted on the boards (element A) ?</p> <p>11c) The project elements D and E should be in envelope 1 or envelope 2 with the annexes?</p> | <p>11a) Unfolded. See response to Q2 in Q&A log vol. 6.</p> <p>11b) Elements B and C must included in the boards (element A). See Competition Conditions Change Notes in Q&A log vol. 3 and 12.</p> <p>11c) The first envelope, entitled The Project.</p> | 25-Aug-16 |
| 12 | <p>In regard to Q18 Q&A vol 16</p> <p>In the Brief it is clearly written thus is a VIRTUAL planetarium which would assume that a "physical" Dome is not required - especially as it describes this space as a "room" - SEE BELOW (extract from brief)</p> <p>'Virtual' Planetarium: A 'virtual' planetarium should be provided. This is a multi-media room, for up to 100</p> <p>visitors, providing a 3D/4D experience within the Science Centre. This could be a separately ticketed offer.</p> <p>A Virtual system is primary a software and desk-top type activity as opposed to a Digital projection Planetarium which would require a dome or similar for projection.</p> <p>IF a dome (and therefore this is Digital Projection Planetarium as opposed to a Virtual Planetarium) is required then this is a significant change to the Brief requiring re-design to accommodate (at this late stage) PLUS we would expect a better description of the requirements of this "Space" (as opposed to a room) - AND in our opinion will require an extension of time to the submission as under the generic rules of procurement in EU such a material change in the ToR of a Tender would require a re-launch in the Tender itself.</p> | <p>This misunderstanding might be caused due to translation; in Lithuanian the words "digital" and "virtual" might be considered as synonyms. As stated in page 74 of the Competition Conditions, all documents are provided in English and Lithuanian, but in the case of any conflicts, the Lithuanian version shall take precedence.</p> <p>In any case according to the note on page 30 of the Competition Conditions: "The area schedule and description of spaces is provided for guidance only. Competitors are encouraged to think creatively, and provide their own interpretation of the building program, and associated area requirements, where deemed appropriate." Therefore a project suggesting either a domed or not domed planetarium will be evaluated and it would not be a basis for disqualification.</p> <p>According to Lithuanian Public procurement law, this kind of amendment or clarification is not considered a significant change of the Competition Conditions and does not warrant an extension of time for submission unless it is made less than 6 days prior to the submission deadline.</p> | 25-Aug-16 |
| 13 | <p>This is in regards to question 18 in vol 16 Planetarium sizing. I think there is some legitimacy to the query of his question ...</p> <p>The Hayden planetarium employs one of the most advanced AV equipment and houses over 450 seats. The dome diameter is 27m. vs a 20m diameter dome seating 100 seats for this competition.</p> <p>Is this dome dimension a guide? requirement? can the designer proposed a size/layout that is most experiential yet efficient to the design?</p> | <p>The recommendation for the size of the planetarium was based on a comparison with the size and seat numbers of planetariums in other science centres.</p> <p>As stated in Competition Conditions, the area schedule and description of spaces is provided for guidance only. Competitors are free to make their suggestions in regard to the size and layout of the planetarium.</p> | 25-Aug-16 |