

Question number	Enquiry	Response	Date
Note #1	Question and Answer Process All questions asked by email (kaunasconcertcentre@malcolmreading.co.uk) and CPP IS by 4pm GMT+3 on a Monday will be collated and answered in the Question and Answer log published on a Wednesday and all questions asked by email (kaunasconcertcentre@malcolmreading.co.uk) and CPP IS by 4pm GMT+3 on a Wednesday will be collated and answered in the Question and Answer log published on Friday.		
Note #2	Clarification on CAD Plans The CAD Plans issued were prepared when the site was selected as the site for the new Concert Centre Project. Any illustration of built or landscape form or access routes in to the site and street level parking provision, are illustrative only.		
Note #3	We have corrected a grammatical mistake in the Lithuanian version of the Competition Conditions, page 41, "Submission Deadline" section. It now states the following: "The deadline for submissions is 14:00 GMT+3 6 September 2017. Submissions received after the deadline will not be opened." This mistake only occurred in the Lithuanian version of the document. The English version remains as it was.		
Note #4	An English translation has been provided of the key for Annex X6 (CAD drawing of the competition site and surrounding area (updated)). This can be found in the Downloads section of the competition website and on CPP IS.		
Note #5	The CAD plan (Annex X6) issued for the contest illustrates siting, vehicular access, parking and infrastructure requirements based on the previous contest held to select the site. As noted on page 61 of the Competition Conditions (English Version) 'Infrastructure can be relocated based on the results of this competition'. This applies also to the siting of the building and vehicular and pedestrian access in and out of the site, as well as surface parking arrangements. The 15 metre zone to H. and O. Minkovskių Street is to be protected for municipal carriageway and pavement/sidewalk works, and therefore should not be built within, other than to create access in and out of the site. However contestants can show a schematic design for these carriageway and sidewalk works for the purposes of their competition entry. Any existing infrastructure that crosses the site, and that must remain in place following the construction of the Concert Centre, is also clearly explained on page 61 of the Competition Conditions document (English Version).		
Questions from previous Q&A Logs			
Q&A Log Vol 5 Q.38	in the diagram of the Competition site (p.28 of the Competition condition) three lines are indicated: planned plot boundary, planned site area boundary, protection zone of the waterfront, visual protection line. in the dwg drawing there is another line, a continuous orange line, which is indicated only in Lithuanian language, that means incomprehensible for us can you please explain the sense of this line and what does it indicate on the dwg file?	Please see Note 4 - An English translation of the key for Annex X6 (CAD .dwg drawing of competition site and surrounding area (updated)) can be downloaded from the competition website and CPP IS.	09-Jul-17

Q&A Log Vol 6. Questions			
1	All the former infrastructure in site, can be removed, as well as the parking spaces to re-organize them?	Please see page 61 of the Competition Conditions, sub-section 'Services Infrastructure' which clearly sets out where on the site there are restrictions on development based on existing services infrastructure. Please also see Note 2 above on the status of the parking shown on the CAD plans.	10-Jul-17
2	We are a group of young architects, who have graduated from the University this year with with the Degree Certificate with distinction. We find the competition interesting and attractive in the respect of our professional development. So, we have a question: Is it possible for us to participate in the competition despite our professional experience doesn't correspond with the minimum qualification requirements you declare?	To participate in the contest you must meet the minimum qualification requirements.	10-Jul-17
3	The CAD plan provided does not represent the reality of the site shown in the site photographs – e.g. the CAD plan shows a row of parking spaces and a bus drop off point along the road to the south and a road junction in the North-West corner of the project boundary – the photos show that these do not currently exist. Are we to integrate these features into our design or can we propose different parking, drop-off and junction designs? I only ask because these features lie outside the project boundary which suggests they cannot be altered by the competitor.	Please see Note 2 above which clarifies these issues. Competitors are to consider the best access and parking arrangements for the project based on the specifics of their design. It is to the discretion of the competitor where access is made into and out of the site, and where surface level parking is located.	10-Jul-17
4	Will you be providing a 3D digital site model? If so, when will this be provided?	Please see Q&A Log Vol 2. Q6.	10-Jul-17
5	Can you provide a cad plan which shows a greater extent? – the Cad plan given is cropped very close to the site boundary.	The client is looking into the availability of a wider area plan, and an update will be provided in the next Q&A Log.	10-Jul-17
6	On the spatial adjacencies diagram on p.59 of the brief you show a box annotated as 'performance spaces' in the back of house zone - this does not correlate with the area schedule. Is this referring to the 'Backstage' area?	You are correct. The area annotated as 'performance spaces' on the spatial adjacencies diagram on p.59 relates to the 'Backstage' spaces on the area schedule on p.33 of the Competition Conditions (English Version), which includes backstage and performers' areas.	10-Jul-17
7	Why is the project boundary offset 15m from the road running to the south of it? Can we propose designs for the area that lies in this 15m zone between the project boundary and the road?	The land between the competition site landplot and the road belongs to the road. As can be seen in the site plan, parking is allowed in that area.	10-Jul-17

8	Do you possess a bigger map of Kaunas in dwg, or dxf format? Given map is too small to understand and present urban context.	Please refer to Q5.	10-Jul-17
9	Do you possess a 3D model of Kaunas city, or minimum of the closest area to the competition site?	Please refer to Q4.	10-Jul-17
10	Do you possess a city profile (skyline of Kaunas) in dwg, or dxf?	Please refer to Q4.	10-Jul-17
11	Could you please present expected composition and content of 6 competition panels in a pdf file?	Please see pages 74 - 76 of the Competition Conditions (English version) for the content required for the six presentation boards. The arrangement of the information on the presentation boards is to the discretion of the competitor.	10-Jul-17
12	On p.49 of the brief (Competition Conditions) you mention that the design should not limit future development on the site but also say that the design of the park is equally as important as the concert centre itself. Can you confirm whether or not other buildings are planned to be constructed within the project boundary in the future? If so, these buildings would be built on top of the public park we would be creating unless we create a zone for future buildings on the site and a zone for public parkland. How should we tackle this issue?	There are no specific plans for additional buildings within the project boundary in the future, and as a result competitors should therefore <u>not</u> zone any future build areas within the public park area in their designs. The aim of this statement in the Competition Conditions is to maintain as much flexibility as possible for the future.	10-Jul-17
13	Could you please provide to competitors dwg files with layers and descriptions translated into english?	Please see Q&A Log Vol 5. Q4.	11-Jul-17

14	<p>In 'Annex X2: Declaration of the Minimum Qualification Requirements' the requirements for technical capacity state under point 4:</p> <p>"The supplier has delivered at least one contract for:</p> <p>a). the preparation of a technical project and/or</p> <p>b). the preparation of a technical work project services</p> <p>for a non-residential building of exceptional significance, of no less than 5,000 square metres in area, within the last three years. For clarity the three year period should be counted backwards from the contest deadline. If your company is less than three years old the validity period is the time that your company has been registered.</p> <p>For definitions of the terms outlined above in bold please see the 'Definitions and Interpretation' Section of the Competition Conditions."</p> <p>Within the 'Definitions and Interpretation' Section of the Competition Conditions it also states a building of exceptional significance includes 'High-rise multiple dwelling residential buildings of five storeys or greater'</p> <p>Therefore, if the applicants have completed the contract for a residential development greater than 5,000 square metres in area, above 20 metres in height and includes liquid material storage tanks above 100 cubic metres, are they eligible to enter the competition?</p>	<p>The definition for 'Building of exceptional significance' is provided on p.89-90 of the Competition Conditions. This definition is drawn from the Lithuanian regulations. It covers a range of building types and forms.</p> <p>However for this competition the stipulation, as noted in Annex X5 'Minimum qualification requirements of the project competitors', point 2 'Indicators of the party's technical capacity' is for a non-residential building of exceptional significance' of 5,000 square metres or more.</p> <p>Therefore the scenario you set out would not meet the minimum qualification requirements for the competition.</p>	11-Jul-17
15	<p>Because of the importance and complexity of the subject, the short-term preparation of the competition project is questioning. In addition the competition time occur on the holiday season. Does the administrator allow to extend the deadline for submission?</p>	<p>No. Please see Q&A Vol 4. Q5.</p>	11-Jul-17
16	<p>What kind of norms should we apply for calculation of building areas? What's the definition of a built surface - the footprint? Is it the biggest contour of the above ground level, or is it the footprint on the ground level (suspended levels are excluded).</p>	<p>For the avoidance of doubt the definition of footprint size in this case is the extent of the maximum floor plate of the building, regardless of whether this is the ground floor or not.</p>	11-Jul-17

17	Is there a 2D plan (CAD) available, showing a larger area around the site? It would be really helpful for showing how the design connects to the wider urban fabric (Board 1).	Please refer to Q5.	11-Jul-17
18	For Annex X3, please confirm what documents should be listed at point 1.1. Also, please clarify what confidential information should be listed at point 1.2 of the same form.	For Annex X3 1.1 you should list all your submittal documents that you provide in the second envelope titled "The registration details" The table under 1.2 should be used to note any particular confidential information you have included in your proposal (for example this may include, but is not limited to, value of a project).	11-Jul-17
19	Regarding the infrastructure mentioned at page 61 of the brief, please provide clarifications on the restrictions due to the electrical cabling at the W end of the site. The plan key is in Lithuanian.	Please refer to Note 4 above.	11-Jul-17
20	Is it possible to provide a combined diagram for pages 54 and 55 of the brief (English Version)?	Please find in the Downloads section of the competition website and on CPP IS, a pdf of the 'Decisions from Kaunas Comprehensive Plan (2013-2023)' Plan as shown on pages 54-55 of the Competition Conditions document (English Version).	11-Jul-17
21	The General Territory Plan (2013-2023) indicates a new embankment will be built to reinforce the river bank – page 28. Isn't this in contradiction with the request for retaining the 'natural' environment and related bio-diversity (page 61)?	The edge to the Planned Site Area Boundary (as indicated by the black dashed line on the diagram on page 28 of the Competition Conditions [English version]) where it edges the river, should be reinforced.	11-Jul-17
22	Can technical spaces and delivery area be located below the site datum (27m above sea level)?	Yes - please see Q&A Log Vol 5.Q 26	11-Jul-17
23	Is there an orthophoto of the site + surroundings available?	Please use the following link to access what is available in terms of ortho-photography. Nothing else is available. http://regia.lt/map/kauno_m?x=494998.6525969011&y=6083522.537228093&scale=50000&lang=0	11-Jul-17
24	Should the river transport passenger dock be located within the site boundary or outside it?	Transport passenger dock can be located anywhere on the site along the river.	11-Jul-17
25	From the flood risk assessment, it seems that there are 10% possibilities that the entire site could be flooded at certain times of the year. Does this mean that the civic and public realm + public park should be located at the new site datum or above to avoid flooding?	Competitors should consider solutions to avoid flooding to the planned site area for the project. It is to the discretion of the competitor how this is achieved.	11-Jul-17

<p>26</p>	<p>Do the restaurants 280sm and cafeteria 260sm inclusive of kitchen etc facilities or are they serviced off the main kitchen 300sm and store 95sm program? The statement below infers that all food and beverage program restaurants and cafeteria are serviced off the main kitchen.</p> <p>'To support the food and beverage facilities in the building, a main kitchen and kitchen store should be provided (satellite facilities can also be provided if appropriate to the design and if operational efficiencies can be made).'</p>	<p>We have provided one area for kitchens and stores - it is to the discretion of the competitor whether this is dispersed or centralised.</p>	<p>11-Jul-17</p>
<p>27</p>	<p>I am the Lead Architect for my new studio, but I previously worked at a high profile firm for the past 8 years doing Construction Documents and Construction Admin on multiple 5000+ SQM projects in which I directly supervised (with 1 other associate) and led both "Technical Project Services" and "Technical Work Services." The firm held the roll as "Lead Architect" on these projects. I was a part of the Lead Architect Team along with other architects. No one (singular) person was the "Lead Architect" on the project but instead the firms name was recorded as the "Lead Architect".</p> <p>Does my experience meet the Minimum Qualifications for my teams submission?</p>	<p>Please see response to Q&A Log Vol 3. Q8, which can be found at the top of Q&A Log Vol. 5.</p>	<p>12-Jul-17</p>